

THE INDEX THEOREM FOR HOMOGENEOUS DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON SUPERMANIFOLDS

DIMITRY LEITES

ABSTRACT. In mid 60s Bott proved that (1) for homogeneous, i.e., G -invariant, elliptic differential operators acting in the spaces of sections of induced representations of G over G/H the index theorem reduces to the Weyl character formula and (2) the index of an equivariant elliptic operator *does not depend on the operator* but on the representations. Here the same theorem is formulated for the unitary supergroup $G = U(p|q)$. For atypical representations the character formula does not reduce to that for the Lie group underlying the supergroup G and this contradicts a statement of Rempel and Schmitt on index on supermanifolds (Pseudodifferential operators and the index theorem on supermanifolds. Seminar Analysis, 1981/82, 92–131, Akad. Wiss. DDR, Berlin, 1982; id., Pseudodifferential operators and the index theorem on supermanifolds. Math. Nachr. 111 (1983), 153–175).

Prehistory. Israel Gelfand conjectured that since the index of any elliptic operator does not vary under deformations, it should be possible to express it in terms of the topological data of the problem. Atiyah and Singer were the first to prove this conjecture. Since then, there were given several proofs of this conjecture until finally Quillen not only noticed a manifestly “superish” nature of the index theorem — the index is the supertrace of an operator — but in two papers that drew a lot of attention to the then new field, supermanifold theory, gave an outline of the proof whose clarity was due to this nature. Getzler did a lot on elaboration and elucidation of the mechanism of this proof see [Q] and [BGV]. (Of particular interest are papers by Alvarez-Gomé and Witten, see [GSW], who link this, super, approach to physics.)

Quillen and his first followers, even Witten, only used the most superficial features of super-manifold theory: it was sufficient for the classical index theorem they were interested in. For the researchers of supermanifold theory proper it is tempting to attack a more general problem: to translate the index theorem and related topics, like the Riemann–Roch–Hirzebruch–Grothendieck theorem, from manifolds to supermanifolds. How to perform such a generalization is, however, quite unclear even after Manin’s contribution towards solution [M].

One of the obstacles: the de Rham cohomology of a (smooth) supermanifold coincides with that of the underlying manifold. The inadequacy of de Rham cohomology as an instrument for description of supermanifolds is manifest: the dimension of a vector superspace or a supermanifold is an element of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2 - 1) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon$, so attempts were made to label cohomology, as well as homology, by a *pair* of integers, rather than by a single one.

The two most successful approaches are those expressed in:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B24, 35Q53, 17A70 (Primary); 17B66, 17B68 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. index theorem, supermanifolds.

Financial support of IHES in 1986; MPI, Bonn, in 1987 and Swedish NFR afterwards is gratefully acknowledged. I am thankful to M. Shubin and A. Dynin (one of the pioneers of the index theory) for lending a hand during my first attempts to write the index theorem on supermanifolds; to J. Bernstein and I. Penkov who taught me a lot I needed in this work; to I. M. Gelfand and B. Sternin for encouragement.

(1) papers by Manin and his students on *homology* of supergrassmannians: the idea to label Schubert supercells with elements of an analogue of the Weyl group. Several versions of the exposition was written; for a review see the translation [M] of VINITI's collection. This review contains also an alternative and in some cases different description of super analog of the Weyl group, due to Penkov and Skornyakov. This rival approach, later improved yet further [PS], is especially good for superization of the Borel–Weil–Bott–Kostant–Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand theorem.

This approach, however, only embraced cell decomposition of quotients of simple Lie supergroups modulo parabolic subsupergroups, not the general supermanifolds. And nobody had yet succeeded to introduce *cohomology* of supermanifolds labelled with two numbers except Shander:

(2) Shander's integration theory concerning complexes of pseudoforms, see [L4], no. 33, Chapter 5.

Another obstacle for superization: one of the most conventional formulations of the index theorem — in terms of fixed points — baffles anyone who tries to formulate it on a supermanifold of purely odd dimension or for an odd differential operator. Again Shander is the only one who managed to see some ways out of this predicament, see [SH].

Summing up, one was tempted to conjecture that the index theorem on supermanifolds reduces to that on underlying manifolds. Indeed, such a theorem was soon published, see [RS].

I never believed in this theorem. The reason for my incredulity was based on two facts:

(a) still another formulation of a particular instance of the index theorem, due to Bott [B], in the case of an *homogeneous*, i.e., G -invariant, differential operator on the coset space G/H states that the index theorem reduces to the Weyl character formula for the irreducible representations of the simple Lie group G ;

(b) the superized Weyl character formula reduces to the representation of the underlying Lie algebra for typical representations only, otherwise it does not and the character of atypical representations is given by the so-called Bernstein–Leites character formula for $\mathfrak{sl}(1|n)$ [BL] and $\mathfrak{osp}(2|2n)$ [L3], respectively; for the most general form of the character formula see [PS], [S]. (Recall also earlier descriptions of the typical representation [Be] for $\mathfrak{gl}$ and $\mathfrak{osp}$; [K] for all simple finite dimensional Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrix; [P] for the series $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ and the review [L3] for all representations, not only typical ones of the other series). The atypical representations are the most interesting ones: the identity one, the adjoint one, their symmetric powers, etc.

In [L1] I suggested to superize the index theorem along the lines of [B] but for various reasons the project was put aside. Recent discussions with B. Sternin and I. M. Gelfand encouraged me to reread [B] to see what can be salvaged under superization; this note is a straightforward answer; conjecturally there exists an algebraic proof fitting any character formula.

I will confine myself to the case of unitary supergroups only. This, I am sure, is a purely technical restriction: the idea of the proof of the main theorem here follows word-for-word that of [B], where the compactness of G is crucial. For the Lie groups this does not restrict the generality, since every simple Lie group has a compact form. Contrarywise, almost no simple complex Lie supergroup has a compact form and there are many compact superspaces G/H with a noncompact G , see [Se]. Nevertheless, I am sure that the compactness of G is beside the point here. The statement, being of purely algebraic nature, should be proved accordingly.

Digest of Bott's result. Let E and F be smooth complex vector bundles over a smooth compact manifold M ; let $D : \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(F)$ be an *elliptic* operator, see [BGV]. Set

$$\text{ind}(D) = \dim \text{Ker}(D) - \dim \text{Coker}(D).$$

It is now well-known, that if D is elliptic, then $\text{ind}(D)$ is finite ([BGV]).

Let $M = G/H$, where G is a compact connected Lie group (in the proof we also need $\pi_1(G)$ to be without 2-torsion), H a connected Lie subgroup of the same rank as G . Let E and F be induced by representations of H . We will say that D is *homogeneous* if it is G -invariant. Passing from manifolds to supermanifolds we require the above for their respective underlying manifolds.

Define the ring $R(G)$ of virtual G -modules as follows. Let $R(G)$, as additive group, be generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the full set $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of irreducible G -modules. The representative of M_λ in $R(G)$ is called its *class* and denoted by $[M_\lambda]$. The ring structure of $R(G)$ comes from tensoring: $[A][B] = [A \otimes B]$. The map $[\cdot] : M \rightarrow [M]$ should possess the following properties of which only 4) is new as compared with [B]:

1) any additive function $f : G\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \text{Ab}$ on the category of G -modules with values in the category of abelian groups Ab can be extended to a function $f : R(G) \longrightarrow \text{Ab}$ such that $f(M) = f([M])$ and if the sequence $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$ is exact, then $f([B]) = f([A]) + f([C])$;

2) any group homomorphism $\varphi : H \longrightarrow G$ extends to a ring homomorphism $\varphi^* : R(G) \longrightarrow R(H)$;

3) the map $M \rightarrow \dim M$ (here $\dim$ is the superdimension for modules over supergroups) can be lifted to a ring homomorphism $\dim : R(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$.

4) $R(G)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]$ -module with the action of ε given by the formula $\varepsilon[M] = [\Pi(M)]$.

Set $\chi(D) = [\text{Ker} D] - [\text{Coker} D]$. This is a refined index, since $\text{ind}(D) = \dim \chi(D)$.

Denote by $\hat{R}(G)$ a completion of $R(G)$, the ring of formal power series on the same generators as $R(G)$. Let us extend the intertwining number of two G -modules

$$(A, B) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_G(A, B)$$

to a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$ on $R(G)$ by setting $\langle M_\lambda, M_\nu \rangle_G = \delta_{\lambda, \nu}$.

Given a group homomorphism $i : H \longrightarrow G$, define the formal inducing $i_* : R(H) \longrightarrow \hat{R}(G)$ by setting

$$i_* : [A] \mapsto \sum_{\lambda} \langle i^*(M_\lambda, [A]) \rangle_H [\lambda], \quad \text{for any } A \in \text{Ob } H\text{-mod}, M_\lambda \in \text{Ob } G\text{-mod}.$$

For any homogeneous elliptic operator $D : \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(F)$, where E and F are induced from H -modules K and L , respectively, define the homogeneous symbol of D to be $s(D) = [K] - [L] \in R(H)$.

Theorem . 1) $\chi(D) = i_*(s(D))$.

1) For any G -module M there exists a homogeneous elliptic operator $D_M : \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(F)$ with $\chi(D_M) = [M]$.

The beauty of this theorem is twofold: the right hand side of 1) is the Weyl character formula of M for $D = D_M$ and thanks to property 1) of the function $[\cdot]$ we see that $[\text{Ker} D] - [\text{Coker} D] = [E] - [F]$ does not depend on D ! (Indeed, the sequence $0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker} D \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \text{Coker} D \longrightarrow 0$ is exact.)

To superize Bott's result, we only have to replace in the above definitions groups with supergroups and dimension with superdimension, so the target ring in property 3) is $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2 - 1)$. To follow Bott's proof, we need to integrate over a supermanifold with compact base

which is only possible for the unitary supergroup. I am sure, however, that there is another, algebraic, proof of this theorem.

REFERENCES

- [Be] Berezin, F. Representations of the supergroup $U(p, q)$. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 10 (1976), n. 3, 70–71 (in Russian); id., *Analysis with anticommuting variables*, Kluwer, 1987
- [BL] Bernstein J., Leites D., A formula for the characters of the irreducible finite-dimensional representations of Lie superalgebras of series $\mathfrak{gl}$ and $\mathfrak{sl}$. (Russian) C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 33, no. 8, 1980, 1049–1051
- [B] Bott, R. The index theorem for homogeneous differential operators, In: *Differential and combinatorial topology* (A symposium in honor of Marston Morse), 1965, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 167–186
- [BGV] Berline R., Getzler E., Vergne M., *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 298. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. viii+369 pp.
- [GSW] Green, M.; Schwarz, J.; Witten, E., *Superstring theory*. Second edition. Vol. 1. Introduction. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1988. x+470 pp; Vol. 2. Loop amplitudes, anomalies and phenomenology. ibid., xii+596 pp.
- [K] Kac V.G., Characters of typical representations of classical Lie superalgebras. Commun. Alg. v. 5, 1977, 889–897
- [L1] Leites, D., *The supermanifold theory*, 1983, Karelia Branch of the USSR Acad. Sci., Petrozavodsk, USSR, 200 pp. (in Russian; for an expanded English version see [L4])
- [L2] Leites, D., Quantization and supermanifolds. (Supplement 3). In: F. Berezin, M. Shubin, *The Schrödinger equation*, 1991, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 483–519
- [L3] Leites D., A formula for the characters of the irreducible finite-dimensional representations of Lie superalgebras of series C . (Russian) C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 33, no. 8, 1980, 1053–1055; id., Lie superalgebras. In: Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 25, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984, 3–49 (in Russian; the English translation: J. Soviet Math., v. 30 (6), 1985, 2481–2512)
- [L4] Leites, D. (ed.) *Seminar on supermanifolds*, preprinted as Reports of Department of Mathematics of Stockholm University, no. 1–34, 1988–91, 2100 pp.
- [M] Manin Yu. (ed.) Current problems in mathematics. Newest results, Vol. 32, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1988, 3–25. The English translation: J. Soviet Math. vol. 51, 1990, no. 1, 2069–2083
- [P] Penkov, I. Characters of typical irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ -modules. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 20 (1986), no. 1, 37–45
- [PS] Penkov I., Serganova V., Generic irreducible representations of finite dimensional Lie superalgebras. Internat. J. Math. 5, 1994, 389–419
- [Q] Quillen D., Superconnections and the Chern character. Topology 24 (1985), no. 1, 89–95; Mathai V., Quillen D., Superconnections, Thom classes, and equivariant differential forms. Topology 25 (1986), no. 1, 85–110; Quillen D., Superconnection character forms and the Cayley transform. Topology 27 (1988), no. 2, 211–238
- [RS] Rempel, S.; Schmitt, T., Pseudodifferential operators and the index theorem on supermanifolds. Seminar Analysis, 1981/82, 92–131, Akad. Wiss. DDR, Berlin, 1982; id., Pseudodifferential operators and the index theorem on supermanifolds. Math. Nachr. 111 (1983), 153–175
- [Se] Serganova V., Classification of simple real Lie superalgebras and symmetric superspaces. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 17 (1983), no. 3, 46–54. English translation: Functional Anal. Appl. 17 (1983), no. 3, 200–207
- [S] Serganova V., Characters of irreducible representations of simple Lie superalgebras. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998). Doc. Math. 1998, Extra Vol. II, 583–593
- [SH] Shander V., Orbits and invariants of the supergroup GQ_n . (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 26, no. 1, 1992, 69–71; translation in Functional Anal. Appl. 26 no. 1, 1992, 55–56; a detailed exposition in xxx.lanl.gov math.RT/9810112

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM, ROSLAGSV. 101, KRÄFTRIKET HUS 6,
SE-106 91, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN; MLEITES@MATEMATIK.SU.SE